



**MINUTES
MONROE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS'
FEBRUARY 19, 2020
NAT U HILL III MEETING ROOM
COURTHOUSE
BLOOMINGTON, IN**

The Monroe County Commissioners met in a regular meeting on February 19, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. with the following members present: Julie Thomas, President and Penny Githens, Commissioner. Also present: Jordan Miller, Payroll Administrator; Jeff Cockerill, Attorney; Angie Purdie, Commissioners' Administrator; Lisa Ridge, Highway Director; and Anita Freeman, Deputy Auditor. Not present: Lee Jones, Vice President.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Meeting called to order by Thomas

II. COMMISSIONERS' PUBLIC STATEMENT

Statement read by Githens

III. PUBLIC COMMENT – FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA, LIMITED TO 3 MINUTES

Hello. I'm **Ken Long** the Emergency Management Advisory Council Chairman for Monroe County. And with me I have Allison Moore, Director of Emergency Management for the county. We're here and with your permission we would like to present a letter of recognition to Mr. Jim Davis for his exemplary service to the community.

(Thomas) Thank you so much. Would you read part of it for us?

(Long) Sure. *A letter of recognition to Mr. Davis:*

The purpose to present and publish a letter of recognition to Mr. Jim Davis, the former Chairman of the Emergency Management Advisory Council (EMAC) for his extraordinary accomplishments for the betterment of Monroe County. Mr. Jim Davis was an impactful leader in Monroe County, serving as the Chairman of the Emergency Management Advisory Council for 15 years. Utilizing his operational experience as a first responder and friendly, yet persuasive personality, the strategic direction taken under his leadership proved valuable for the citizenry of Monroe

County. Several initiatives were implemented that have become the foundation for Monroe County's emergency preparedness.

The transition of emergency communications amongst fire responders from agency specific communications to interoperable communications utilizing the 800 MHz radio system was key. Multi-disciplinary agencies became able to communicate with each other saving much needed time in order to work together during emergency response ultimately saving lives of both responders and those in need.

Paramount for protection of the people of Monroe County Mr. Davis initiated the County's outdoor warning system upgrade to ensure the entire county was covered adequately. The county grew from 16 to its current 47 outdoor warning sirens placing them in populated areas in order to alarm persons outdoors of imminent danger so they could seek shelter or take other appropriate measures.

Inclusion of stakeholders into the Monroe County Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan through establishment of The Emergency Management Control Board was key to Mr. Davis. The Board became the primary means of communication and coordination among and between key stakeholders with duties and responsibilities in preparing for, responding to, and recovering from emergency and disaster situations, which impact the community.

Jim Davis' contribution to safety and emergency preparedness is a compliment to himself but more so it will continue to benefit Monroe County while helping prepare and protect his fellow citizens.

It is with great pleasure that the Emergency Management Advisory Council present this Letter of Recognition to Mr. Jim Davis.

(Thomas) Thank you so much. Mr. Davis thank you so much for your contribution to our community and our safety. Do you have a few words for us?

(Davis) Well, I really wasn't planning on saying hardly anything but after all that I'm not sure I should, just go sit down. One thing I can guarantee you I cannot do and that is walk on water.

(Thomas) I don't know, it sounds like you might be able too.

(Davis) You have a fine organization here with Ken and Allison and they're doing a wonderful job and I appreciate this very much.

(Thomas) Thank you so much for your service. We're going to miss seeing you around on these important meetings.

(Githens) I heard yesterday that you have served as a volunteer firefighter for 50 years.

(Davis) Yes.

(Githens) That's incredible. We owe you a lot.

(Davis) Yeah, we get to go see the Governor I think the 27th over that one.

(Thomas) Great. Excellent. Good.

(Allison Moore) I quickly want to add Jim was the president when I began my career with Monroe County. He took me under his wing and guided us to get started between how our Emergency Management Advisory Council worked. I was very familiar with the process but he showed me down in the weeds how it worked here for Monroe County. He was very vital getting me up on the ground running and I owe a lot to him. I'm very happy for his retirement and we are very blessed for the user service that he had and with that we have a clock we want to honor him with from the Commissioners and The Emergency Management Advisory Council.

(Davis) Now I won't be late anymore.

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- **FEBRUARY 12, 2020**

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

Motion carried by voice vote.

V. APPROVAL OF CLAIMS DOCKET

- **ACCOUNTS PAYABLE – FEBRUARY 19, 2020**

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Miller) Total for Accounts Payable - \$1,203,411.47

- \$207,077.00 – Area 10/Rural Transit Grant Distribution
- \$188,603.17 – PSAP LIT Distribution
- \$126,124.74- Anthem BC/BS Claims & Fees

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

VI. REPORTS

- **TRAFFIC/ROAD UPDATE**

- Old Dutch Church Road closed beginning February 24th for bridge replacement. Closure up to 90 days weather permitting.

VII. NEW BUSINESS

A. MOVE TO APPROVE: ACH MEDICAL CONTRACT TO ADD A PSYCHIATRIC NURSE PRACTITIONER TO CURRENT CONTRACT.

**FUND NAME: COUTNY GENERAL FUND NUMBER: 1000 AMOUNT:
\$27,227.94 UPON COUNCIL APPROVAL.**

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Cockerill) I just want to make a few brief comments because on the cover sheet is a blank where it says 'Attorney reviewed this contract' we've had several people in our office review the contract. Essentially what we're looking at is we had a historical contract with ACH that was

approved about 8 years ago. I think the primary motivation for this contract was to add this new service to the inmates, but there were a lot of other different changes made to it, prescription medication being one that the monthly amount was changed. We had two meetings with the vendor themselves and went through the contract and they explained a lot of the why's these changes were made and they were just common best practices in the industry. As we discussed back and forth they made a lot of sense. Just kind of a back ground, yes we have reviewed this and it's a little bit more than adding the additional service but it also touches a lot of different areas of the contract. I will now turn it over to Sam.

(Sam Crowe) Good morning Commissioners. As Jeff said we're just trying to add the assistance of a psychiatric nurse practitioner and increase the amount of money that we have for medications. With the addition of the psychiatric nurse practitioner we can almost guarantee that there's going to be an increase in the medications that's going to be prescribed in the jail for psychiatric mental health needs. When we start talking about the jail and the issues that we have more and more mental health issues are coming up and they are serious mental health needs and it seems like a large percentage of our time is being taking care of or taken with those people with mental health needs. So we're trying to do something to combat that. Just prior to us coming here today we had an issue with someone who has mental health needs, we deal with this day in and day out and we're just trying to do something to help combat that. Every week we get a list from our nurse of the most serious medical needs in the jail. Of the 16 people that were listed on that list she provided to me, 9 of them had mental health issues. So we're doing a very good job with medical issues but I think we're lagging currently with mental health and that's something that we need to improve on.

(Githens) I want to first of all thank you Commander Crowe for sitting down with me and having other people sit down with me to go through this contract in detail. I also want to work with you to figure out if there's a way to keep data to show the improvements that come about within the jail and even with recidivism rates because adding this person. I think it's always important to show taxpayers the benefit they get from how we spend their money. But I'm please we're making this move forward.

(Crowe) I'm more than happy to sit down with you and any ideas you might have and share with us we're more than happy to work with.

(Githens) Even the number that you just quoted about the most serious cases, if we could keep data on that to show that there's decline that would useful I think.

(Thomas) That would be good to keep some statistical information on the impact of this. So what happened, maybe this is a question for Mr. Cockerill, what happens if it is, it specifically says in the contract, for in county only. What happens for out of county inmates?

(Cockerill) I think we should start off with the fact that if they are in the facility and they need medical attention, they get the medical attention. The nine county patients, my understanding through our meetings, is this group handles the surrounding counties as well. From a billing

stand point I think those other counties get billed and it's a lot easier when the same group handles them both. But they would get billed pursuant to whatever the rules and regulations are but I think it's important that if they're in our facility ultimately we're responsible for making sure they get that care. Sure, other people may be financially responsible but from a liability prospective we are, and so that billing we go through a normal course where we would send an invoice to the other counties and expect payment. I'm not aware of any nonpayment by other counties at least crossing our desk in the legal department.

(Crowe) We work very hard to communicate with those other counties. We hold people a very short length of stay once they're done with local charges. If we have to we can help with transport arrangements, we will transport we have done that before. What we don't want to do is just drop somebody off on somebody else's door step. We'll communicate with that other county and try to make arrangements whether they can release the person through their court system, whether we have to help with transport arrangements. We do what we can to try to get that person the best care that they need and to get them out of our facility as fast as we can.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

B. MOVE TO APPROVE: RATIFICATION OF MIDWEST PRESORT AGREEMENT.

FUND NAME: REASSESSMENT

FUND NUMBER: 1224

AMOUNT: \$28,891.10

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Lisa Surface) Good morning Commissioners. Yeah, just coming back for approval of the form 11 contract. We do this every single year. The form 11's are set to go out probably end of April for sure. This is what the envelope is going to look like this year, clearly marked with a bright yellow strip on the envelope for taxpayers to watch for in their mailboxes to pull that out and take a look at it, just don't disregard it.

(Thomas) What is a form 11?

(Surface) The form 11 is a notice of land and structure value and it will be showing them what their 2020 value is for their 2021 taxes.

(Thomas) The assessed value.

(Surface) Yes.

(Githens) It was a little hard for me when I was reading through this to separate what was the Assessor vs Treasurer and it finally became clear.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

C. MOVE TO APPROVE: RATIFICATION OF RICOH AGREEMENT FOR COLOR COPIER FOR COUNTY COUNCIL.

FUND NAME: CUMULATIVE CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT FUND NUMBER: 1138

AMOUNT: \$209.01/MONTH PLUS METERED FEE.

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Thomas) Is this a ratification? Because I thought we had approved this at a work session.

(Evans) Yes we discussed this at work session. This is the actual signing of the contract.

(Thomas) Would you amend your motion please?

(Githens) I move to ratify the RICOH agreement for the color copier.

(Thomas) I will second that. Thank you.

Hello good morning. I'm **Eric Evans** CTO here at Monroe County. As we discussed at a previous work session this is for a color copier to be located in the County Council office to replace the black and white copier that they've been working with for a couple of years. Obviously this will give them the ability to print all sorts of colored things that they weren't able to before. They were having to basically print off of other color copiers throughout the network. This will be a lot more convenient for them.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

D. MOVE TO APPROVE: RATIFICATION OF RICOH AGREEMENT FOR 2 B/W COPIERS FOR THE JAIL.

FUND NAME: CUMULATIVE CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT FUND NUMBER: 1138

AMOUNT: \$397.97/ MONTH PLUS METERED FEE.

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Evans) Ok so this contract is for 2 new copiers in the jail. The copiers that are currently deployed there are very old, in fact they are some of the oldest machines in our fleet. Obviously as you can imagine a copier in the jail is used pretty heavily and so the fact that they've survived as long as they have is testament to the quality RICOH product that we've been getting. But it's time to replace these copiers. The one will go on the 4th floor administrative area and the first one will be put on the main floor control center where they book in the prisoners and all of that.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

E. MOVE TO APPROVE: L & D MASTERS TAX STATEMENT PRINTING.

FUND NAME: COUNTY GENERAL FUND NUMBER: 1000 AMOUNT: \$13,040.56

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Jessica McClellan) Good morning Commissioners. This is the annual contract to print and mail the tax statements that will be going out in early April to all the residents in Monroe County. This includes the tax bill, informational second page and box slip that's going to include some payment options, census 2020 information and e-billing information.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

F. MOVE TO APPROVE: AGREEMENT WITH VS ENGINEERING, INC FOR KARST FARM GREENWAY NORTHWEST EXPANSION.

FUND NAME: NEXT LEVEL TRAILS FUND NUMBER: 9107 AMOUNT: \$170,600

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

Good day. **Kelli Witmer** with Monroe County Parks & Recreation. We have a VS Engineering contract for \$170,600 this will have Karst Farm Greenway Northwest expansion towards Ellettsville and will be the last engineering contract we'll have for this particular project.

(Thomas) So this runs from where?

(Witmer) From Loesch Road Trail Head parking lot to Woodyard Rd. We have another engineer taking that to Ellettsville. So this is the second engineering crew on this particular project.

(Githens) I think there was one place in the packet that it said that there was a slight grade down from the sidewalk if I remember right. Is that right? And I was wondering if that's going to be easily used by people with say walkers or wheelchairs?

(Witmer) I'm not sure where you read the word sidewalk but from the trail head –

(Githens) It was a slope.

(Witmer) There is a slope. From the trail head parking lot to the railroad there is an incline and they will make that ADA. And once it gets there it goes to the boardwalk, it goes to our 4th & 5th bridge and then to Ellettsville. And once it gets onto the rail bed it's pretty flattened and hardly any grade increase at all.

(Githens) And I was pleased to see that this is for both bicycles and hiking or walking.

(Witmer) Oh, yes it'll look like almost any other trail you see in any other greenway similar to the Limestone Greenway.

(Thomas) It's going to fit right into the other pieces.

(Witmer) Eventually, from Ellettsville you'll be able to get to the B-Line, the B-Line all the way to Clear Creek, to the Limestone and you can also then get to Karst Farm Park, the Northwest YMCA, Cook Inc., and a few elementary schools too. This whole trail will be a nice thing for people, maybe 100 years to use.

(Githens) It's exciting.

(Thomas) It is exciting.

(Witmer) It is exciting.

(Thomas) Thanks to everyone for their hard work on this. I know it takes a lot of people.

(Witmer) This particular grant it's been a collaboration between Highway and Planning and the Commissioners, Council and Legal department even the surveyor office. So everyone has been helping out and hopefully this will be a very nice product for the people.

(Githens) I like the fact that there are multiple groups that work on this together it's so collaborative.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

G. MOVE TO APPROVE: ORDINANCE 2020-07; RUPERT REZONE.

FUND NAME: N/A

FUND NUMBER: N/A

AMOUNT: N/A

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Drew Myers) Good morning. We spoke about this petition at last work session. It is a petition to rezone approximately .92 acres from Single Dwelling Residential 3.5 to Low Density Residential. Should the rezone be approved the land use of a guest house will be permitted on the property by right. Here is a little bit of a summary, originally the petitioner applied for a building permit in order to construct a 16 x 24 addition to the existing single family residence. During that application review process planning staff became aware of the addition to the existing detached garage that occurred at some point between 2005 & 2010. The addition added approximately 528 sq. ft. to the existing 720 sq. ft. detached garage. The detached garage had an improvement location permit but it did not have a permit for the addition. Upon review of the site planning staff initially believed the petitioner was operating a home based business i.e. a carpet cleaning business out of the detached garage and addition but the petitioner acknowledge that the work vehicles are parked on site but no other business activities take place there. Additionally the petitioner informed planning staff that the addition to the detached garage serves as a spare living space for when the petitioner hosts a traveling missionary for a few months out of the year. This type of use is classified as a guest house. Chapter 802 of Monroe County Zoning Ordinance defines a guest house as *"an accessory building containing a lodging unit with or without kitchen facilities used to house occasional visitors or nonpaying guest of the occupants of a dwelling unit on the same site."*

In order to permit the land use of guest house on this property the petitioner was presented with two options by planning staff, one of them was to go for the rezone to a zone that would

be more suitable for this use or the other option was to file for a use variance to chapter 833 to grant the use of a guest house on this property in the RS3.5 zoning district. The RS3.5 zoning district was a Bloomington fringe zone and the Low Density Residential zoning district is a county zone so that's why it was recommended that he rezone it to this one. The design standards are very similar and basically it permits the use of a guest house.

The property is located at 3937 W Walnut Leaf Drive in Van Buren Township. It's currently zoned Single Dwelling Residential 3.5 the immediate zoning around it is RS3.5 as well. RM7 is nearby, RM 15, RE1 and Institutional, Limited Business there is a lot of different zones in this area. The big general business red marker that you can see there is mostly Wal-Mart and other commercial businesses there. The comprehensive plan has it MCUA phase 1 designated as mixed use and phase 2 has it as N1 Urban Infill.

Site conditions the property accessed via ingress/egress easement from W Walnut Leaf Dr which is a local road. The petition site contains a single family residence, detached garage with living space, a car port and a utility shed. The petition site is relatively flat there is no slope over 15%, there's no FEMA flood plain and there are no karst features on the site that can be observed. The property is also serviced by sanitary sewer connection.

Now here we have some site photos of the property. This first one is facing south along the ingress/egress easement and the bottom right is continuing on that easement to the property. The top left photograph is the easement coming around to the single family residence that is currently existing there and the bottom right photograph is another picture of that residence. Now you start to see coming down the driveway you can see the detached garage and living space attached to it in the background there. The bottom right photograph is a little better picture of that with the carport next to it. More photographs of that structure in the top left and then to the bottom right is the utility shed and the carport. Circling back around you can see single family residence and again the driveway that leads onto the easement. Here is an aerial photograph, the light blue square is the building that we're talking about it originally had a permit as a detached garage but it did not receive a permit for the addition. Here we have the petitioners letter an engineered site plan as built. And here we have a little bit of a discussion of the design standards you can see the very similar qualities between these two districts minimum lot size only goes up a little bit, minimum lot width is very similar, max height is very similar, you notice the front yard setback is the exact same, side yard setbacks are very close and that's why it was recommended that zone be selected as the rezone. Now speaking on that a little bit there was some discussion at the Plan Commission meeting that was heard on January 21st of this year and there was some discussion about spot zoning. We just want to be clear about what spot zoning is. Mr. Schilling offered this insert, *Spot zoning is a type of zoning that exists when you don't give consideration to the statutory criteria. You can have a massive residential area and decide to zone a small spot in there commercial as long as it serves the residential areas. It may make sense to have commercial in there. In other words it looks like a spot on the map but if you look at your Comprehensive Plan, if you have given consideration to planning principals and so forth then it is not going to be a spot zone. A spot zone is simply something that doesn't appear to be justified by any facts.* I just wanted to bring that up again because I know that there might be some people that may think that this is a spot zone since there is no surrounding zone that is exactly LR but we've given careful consideration to the multiple comprehensive plans and all the other facts about the site itself.

(Githens) What is the Plan Review Committee responsible for?

(Myers) The Plan Review Committee is the first stop for a rezone process or other process similar to that. I believe they are a 5 person group that offers a first round of comments to a petition. On this packet we did not have a quorum for that meeting so they could not give any recommendation, I believe only one person was able to make it to that meeting. But they are the first round of review before the Plan Commission hears it, before their admin meeting, before their regular session meetings.

(Githens) But they are two separate –

(Myers) Yes they are two separate committees.

(Thomas) The Plan Commission has a number of committees and that's one of them. It has some residents but it also has some Plan Commission members on it. And what happens is and maybe this will help, what happens is we have ordinances and once the ordinances are in place and you go a few years beyond and you get a comprehensive plan we set and apply new principles for our future zoning ordinances and subdivision ordinances at that point you need a plan review committee because what they do is they look at it and say we know what the ordinance is, we know this might fit it, but let's look at what the plans says we want to do with this area. So maybe that's not going to fit anymore, so we kind of have that look ahead.

(Myers) Exactly.

(Githens) Thank you.

(Myers) So the Plan Commission heard this on January 21st and gave a positive recommendation of 6-1. The one vote was primarily targeted towards the wrong process wrong venue, that plan commission member believed that it should have been a use variance and gone to the Board of Zoning Appeals rather than a rezone. Basically that plan commission member thought the other option that was presented to the petitioner should've been pursued other than the rezone. And staff gave a recommendation of approval as well with a condition and that condition is that the petitioner apply for a permit to convert the use of a residential accessory structure to that of a guest house and also submit a signed affidavit that that structure and use meets the definition of guest house and then condition #2 would be petitioner would apply for all the necessary after the fact building permits for all unpermitted structures on the property. Those two structure would be the utility shed and the carport.

(Thomas) So is there any business activity going on on that property?

(Myers) When I did a site visit I saw some of the carpet cleaning cars parked there and in my conversations with the petitioner he said he would be more than amenable to moving them but I could not tell from my own site visit and conversations from him he said that there are no other business activities going on site.

(Thomas) So they're not actually processing any of the cleaning agents or whatever on the property, it's just where they park vehicles.

(Myers) To my knowledge yes.

(Githens) So how does your recommendations get moved into this approval process?

(Thomas) I'm not sure I understand your question.

(Githens) Ok. So we vote on whether or not to have a rezone, how do your recommended-

(Thomas) So those are the conditions so by passing this with conditions as the plan commission did, if we go ahead and also approve this those have to be followed. Those are required to be followed. They are conditions of approval meaning the approval is void if those conditions are not met.

(Githens) Is there a time line on these conditions?

(Myers) Planning staff wouldn't change the zoning map until these conditions are approved and also we would be working with the petitioner directly to get this squared away as soon as possible.

(Thomas) They couldn't use the guest house until it's approved.

(Myers) Correct.

(Thomas) Any one here to speak in favor of this petition? Any one that want's to speak in opposition of this petition? Any other public comment?

(Myers) I will say that the petitioner is here this afternoon if you would like to speak with him.

(Petitioner) I just want to explain that when we first moved there I built a two car garage to store my vehicles in because they can't be out in the winter time, they'll freeze. And then the builder he got a permit and everything and then when I added on I thought the builder got the permit. I didn't find out until I applied to add onto my house right now that it wasn't done. And that's the reason it was done, it wasn't intentional. And he might have thought that I got a permit. But we didn't talk about it because I assumed the other contractor got the permit that he did too.

(Thomas) It happens. It's good lesson for anybody doing any kind of remodeling that you talk about permits. I appreciate that you followed through with all of this.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

H. MOVE TO APPROVE: RATIFICATION OF ADMIN SOLUTIONS, INC AGREEMENT WITH YSB.

FUND NAME: SPECIAL PURPOSES LIT (JUVENILE LIT)

FUND NUMBER: 1114

AMOUNT: \$350/MONTH PLUS STORAGE FEE

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Cockerill) We talked about this at last week's work session this is the ASIware agreement. This software that they've had at YSB for I believe seven years and it takes care of all their notes they make as they dealing with their clientele and they store it in a secure manner based upon the juvenile requirements. This is just a continuation of that agreement I believe it is \$350/month plus the amount of data they have to store.

(Githens) At the top of page 117, it says *'the agreement shall be governed, construed and interpreted by, through and under the Laws of the State of Ohio'* instead of the State of Indiana.

So is that appropriate in this situation?

(Cockerill) We run into these a lot when we're dealing with, particularly with software vendors, they have their rules based upon where they're located. We always make the attempt to get it to say Indiana, but if we have a long history with a vendor and haven't run into a lot of issues that's something we look at given on to make the process work. A lot of software vendors you lose a lot of negotiation power when they service a lot of people all over the country. It's a lot of expense to them to have to figure out what the laws of each independent state is. It's a way they keep their cost down and give us a product at more reasonable break.

(Githens) Thank you.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

I. MOVE TO APPROVE: AGREEMENT WITH VET ENVIRONMENTAL FOR QUARRY PROPERTIES.

FUND NAME: COUNTY GENERAL FUND NUMBER: 1000 AMOUNT: NOT TO EXCEED \$12,500

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Cockerill) This agreement is really two, one is for consultation and one is for phase I review of the Quarry property. The consultants as you know they've been involved in several meetings and have given us guidance, and quite frankly we expect to get guidance from them throughout the master planning phase of those properties. This is just kind of an agreement to keep that looking at that project making sure it's something we want to do.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

J. MOVE TO APPROVE: RATIFICATION OF RQAW ALLOWANCE AUTHORIZATION #11 FOR YSB.

**FUND NAME: JUVENILE PER DIEM-CONST RENO-YSB FUND NUMBER: 4903
AMOUNT: \$2,887.50**

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Purdie) This again was discussed last Wednesday at the work session. This allowance will provide for the install of an exhaust vent and thermostat in the room that's housing the freezer for YSB.

(Githens) After you explained it last week it seems like it's a pretty necessary renovation.

(Thomas) And to put you on the spot Ms. Purdie, we're going to be opening the renovation this year.

(Purdie) It will be this year I cannot tell you when.

(Thomas) Well we'll keep everybody apprised.

(Purdie) Definitely.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

**K. MOVE TO APPROVE: AGREEMENT WITH ASI FOR ADDITIONAL MAINTENANCE STAFF.
FUND NAME: COUNTY GENERAL FUND NUMBER: 1000 AMOUNT: NOT TO
EXCEED \$45,500**

Githens made motion to approve. Thomas seconded.

(Purdie) I'd like to add to that this is conditional upon Council approve of the additional request. So what this is going to do is provide for additional maintenance employee, it's actually contracted through ASI but it deals with the majority of what I refer to as our justice buildings. This person is going to be primarily responsible for the issues that occur in the jail. Given the age, and the inmate count as opposed to the recommended 80% it puts additional wear and tear. This person will be primarily responsible for the issues in the correctional facility. If by chance there's not something to do in the correctional facility they'll be used in the other buildings.

(Githens) Hopefully this will keep the building usable for a longer period of time.

After call for public comment, motion carried by voice vote.

VIII. APPOINTMENTS

Githens made motion to appoint. Thomas seconded.

- Vicky Sorensen – Board of Zoning Appeals- Remainder of 4 year term (ending 1.1.2022)

All in favor, Motion carried by voice vote.

IX. ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Accepting applications for all boards and commissions. For more information about the boards and commissions you may go to www.co.monroe.in.us.
- Emergency Management Agencies Week, February 24th – 28th.
- Next Commissioners' Meeting: February 26, 2020 10am in the Nat U Hill meeting room, 3rd floor of the courthouse.

X. ADJOURNMENT

The minutes of the February 19, 2020 Board of Commissioners' meeting were approved on, February 26, 2020.

Monroe County Commissioners

Ayes:

Nays:


Julie Thomas, President

Julie Thomas, President


Lee Jones, Vice President

Lee Jones, Vice President


Penny Githens

Penny Githens

Attest:


Catherine Smith, Auditor



MONROE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
WORK SESSION AGENDA
MONROE COUNTY COURTHOUSE
JUDGE NAT U. HILL III MEETING ROOM
BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA
February 19, 2020

- 1. Tressia Martin – Chief Deputy Clerk**
 - a. Macon-Malinowski Consulting Agreement – Bringing back for approval next week.
- 2. Penny Caudill – Health Administrator**
 - a. Approval of insertion order by Health Department with NCM – Approved.
- 3. Legal Department**
 - a. Upcoming meeting: Convention Center Management contract extension.